

N-DIMENSIONAL INTEGRABILITY FROM TWO-PHOTON COALGEBRA SYMMETRY

Ángel Ballesteros, Alfonso Blasco and Francisco J. Herranz

Departamento de Física, Universidad de Burgos, 09001 Burgos, Spain

Abstract

A wide class of Hamiltonian systems with N degrees of freedom and endowed with, at least, $(N-2)$ functionally independent integrals of motion in involution is constructed by making use of the two-photon Lie–Poisson coalgebra (h_6, Δ) . The set of $(N-2)$ constants of the motion is shown to be a universal one for all these Hamiltonians, irrespectively of the dependence of the latter on several arbitrary functions and N free parameters. Within this large class of quasi-integrable N -dimensional Hamiltonians, new families of completely integrable systems are identified by finding explicitly a new independent integral $\mathcal{I}$ through the analysis of the sub-coalgebra structure of h_6 . In particular, new completely integrable N -dimensional Hamiltonians describing natural systems, geodesic flows and static electromagnetic Hamiltonians are presented.

PACS: 02.20.Sv 02.30.Ik 45.20.Jj

KEYWORDS: Integrable systems, Lie algebras, coalgebras, two-photon algebra, Casimir functions, N -dimensional, geodesic flows

1 Introduction

Due to its physical and mathematical relevance, the construction of completely integrable Hamiltonian systems focuses an intense research activity that makes use of many different approaches and techniques (see, for instance, [1, 2, 3, 4]). However, the number of known integrable systems (in the Liouville sense [5]) that can be generalized for an arbitrary number N of degrees of freedom is relatively scarce. In most of the cases, this possibility is based on some underlying symmetry that allows for the appropriate propagation of the integrability properties to arbitrary dimension (see the systems described in [6]–[22] and references therein).

The aim of this paper is the construction of many new families of classical integrable Hamiltonian systems with N degrees of freedom, depending on several arbitrary functions and N free parameters, and whose integrals of the motion will be also explicitly given. These results will be obtained by applying the coalgebra symmetry method (see [23, 24, 25]) to the Poisson version of the so-called two-photon/Schrödinger algebra h_6 [26, 27, 28]. As we shall show in the sequel, the h_6 -coalgebra symmetry turns out to be extremely powerful, since the N -particle realization provided by the coalgebra structure encompasses different N -body symmetries that underly several useful and explicit integrability properties. Moreover, one can easily realize that the approach here presented is also applicable in order to ensure the integrability of the quantum mechanical analogues of all the Hamiltonians contained in this paper.

Firstly, let us recall that the h_6 Lie–Poisson algebra is spanned by the six abstract generators $\{K, A_+, A_-, B_+, B_-, M\}$, whose one-particle symplectic realization is given by

$$\begin{aligned} A_+ &= \lambda_1 p_1 & A_- &= \lambda_1 q_1 & K &= q_1 p_1 - \frac{\lambda_1^2}{2} \\ B_+ &= p_1^2 & B_- &= q_1^2 & M &= \lambda_1^2 \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

where λ_1 is a non-vanishing constant that labels the previous symplectic realization and where we have considered the usual Poisson bracket $\{q_1, p_1\} = 1$. The abstract Poisson brackets defining h_6 read

$$\begin{aligned} \{K, A_+\} &= A_+ & \{K, A_-\} &= -A_- & \{A_-, A_+\} &= M \\ \{K, B_+\} &= 2B_+ & \{K, B_-\} &= -2B_- & \{B_-, B_+\} &= 4K + 2M \\ \{A_+, B_-\} &= -2A_- & \{A_+, B_+\} &= 0 & \{M, \cdot\} &= 0 \\ \{A_-, B_+\} &= 2A_+ & \{A_-, B_-\} &= 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

A direct inspection of this algebra makes its rich subalgebra structure evident (for instance, the $gl(2)$ subalgebra $\{K, B_+, B_-, M\}$, the oscillator one $h_4 \equiv \{K, A_+, A_-, M\}$ and the Heisenberg one $h_3 \equiv \{A_+, A_-, M\}$ can be easily identified). This is one of the main features of h_6 , since this algebra generalizes many lower dimensional Lie symmetries in a transparent way, a fact that will be relevant in order to find new and more general integrability structures.

As a consequence of (1.1), any quadratic Hamiltonian with one degree of freedom can always be written as a linear combination of the h_6 generators in the above repre-

sentation. This is indeed the origin of the quantum mechanical relevance of the h_6 Lie algebra as a dynamical symmetry, since the quantum counterpart of such a quadratic Hamiltonian can be interpreted as a single-mode radiation field Hamiltonian including the number operator $\hat{K}$, creation and annihilation operators $\hat{A}_+$ and $\hat{A}_-$ and two-photon creation and annihilation operators $\hat{B}_+$ and $\hat{B}_-$ (the generator $\hat{M}$ would be a central one). In this context, different applications of the h_6 symmetry can be found in [27], and it is also interesting to recall that h_6 is isomorphic to the $(1+1)$ -dimensional centrally extended Schrödinger Lie algebra [29]. In fact, this isomorphism provides the two Casimir functions for h_6 , which are the central generator M and the fourth-order Casimir [30]

$$\mathcal{C} = (MB_+ - A_+^2)(MB_- - A_-^2) - (MK - A_-A_+ + M^2/2)^2 \quad (1.3)$$

that will play a relevant role hereafter. The function $\mathcal{C}$ can be reduced to a third-order invariant by extracting M as a common factor:

$$\mathcal{C}_{h_6} = \mathcal{C}/M = MB_+B_- - B_+A_-^2 - B_-A_+^2 - M(K + M/2)^2 + 2A_-A_+(K + M/2). \quad (1.4)$$

Note that if we substitute the symplectic realization (1.1) within the two Casimir functions, the former is characterized by the values $M = \lambda_1^2$ and $\mathcal{C}_{h_6} = 0$.

Now, if we endow the h_6 Poisson algebra with a coalgebra structure, *i.e.* with a two-body primitive coproduct (which is a Poisson algebra homomorphism $\Delta : h_6 \rightarrow h_6 \otimes h_6$ [23, 25]) given by

$$\Delta(X) = X \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes X \quad X \in \{K, A_+, A_-, B_+, B_-, M\}, \quad (1.5)$$

then the one-particle dynamical symmetry given by (1.1) can be extended recursively. This gives rise to an infinite family of N -particle Hamiltonians defined as *any* smooth function $\mathcal{H}$ of the generators of h_6

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(K, B_+, B_-, A_+, A_-, M)$$

and provided that $\mathcal{H}$ is realized in the N -particle symplectic realization coming from the coproduct structure. More importantly, the m -particle realizations ($m = 3, \dots, N$) of the coproducts of the Casimir function $\mathcal{C}_{h_6}$ will provide a set of $(N - 2)$ independent integrals of the motion in involution with the whole family of Hamiltonians $\mathcal{H}$ (as we shall explain later, the $m = 2$ realization gives a vanishing constant of the motion). Moreover, since there is only *one* integral of motion left in order to get the *complete integrability* of $\mathcal{H}$, we shall name all these systems as *quasi-integrable* Hamiltonians. This construction will be described in detail in section 2, and some preliminary results can be found in [25]. Moreover, having in mind further applications, particular choices for $\mathcal{H}$ leading to N -dimensional (ND) natural systems, geodesic flows and static electromagnetic Hamiltonians will be explicitly identified.

In section 3 we analyse two different possibilities in order to ensure the complete integrability of $\mathcal{H}$ by finding an extra integral $\mathcal{I}$, that will be defined as a function

of the generators of h_6 , since this guarantees its existence for any number of degrees of freedom. In the first case, the Hamiltonian can be defined on a *subalgebra* of h_6 and then the N -particle Casimir of such subalgebra can be directly identified with the additional constant $\mathcal{I}$. This possibility is presented in section 4, where the rich subalgebra structure for h_6 is fully described.

A second possibility is analysed in section 5, where we introduce five new families of ND integrable systems that have one of the five non-central generators of h_6 as the remaining integral of motion $\mathcal{I}$. This construction turns out to be significantly powerful, since all these systems depend on several arbitrary functions and provide a large number of new instances of interesting Hamiltonians, both from the mathematical and the physical viewpoints. Finally, some comments and further research lines are presented in a concluding section.

2 An infinite family of quasi-integrable systems

The integrability properties of the generic N -particle Hamiltonians with h_6 coalgebra symmetry relies on the following result.

Theorem 1. *Let $\{\mathbf{q}, \mathbf{p}\} = \{(q_1, \dots, q_N), (p_1, \dots, p_N)\}$ be N pairs of canonical variables. The ND Hamiltonian*

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(K, B_+, B_-, A_+, A_-, M) \quad (2.1)$$

defined as any smooth function $\mathcal{H} : \mathbb{R}^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} A_+ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i p_i & A_- &= \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i q_i & K &= \sum_{i=1}^N \left(q_i p_i - \frac{\lambda_i^2}{2} \right) \\ B_+ &= \sum_{i=1}^N p_i^2 & B_- &= \sum_{i=1}^N q_i^2 & M &= \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

where λ_i are N arbitrary parameters, is quasi-integrable. The $(N - 2)$ functionally independent integrals of the motion for $\mathcal{H}$ are

$$C^{(m)} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k}^m \left(\lambda_i (p_j q_k - p_k q_j) + \lambda_j (p_k q_i - p_i q_k) + \lambda_k (p_i q_j - p_j q_i) \right)^2 \quad (2.3)$$

where $m = 3, \dots, N$. These integrals are in involution and can be called ‘universal’ in the sense that they do not depend on the specific choice of the function $\mathcal{H}$.

Proof. The keystone to prove this result comes from the fact that (1.1) is a one-particle symplectic realization for the Poisson coalgebra (h_6, Δ) , labelled by the λ_1 parameter. Moreover, it can be easily checked that (2.2) is just the N -particle symplectic realization of (h_6, Δ) that is obtained through the N -sites generalization of the coproduct (1.5):

$$\Delta^{(N)}(X) = X \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes \dots^{N-1} \otimes 1$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +1 \otimes X \otimes 1 \otimes \dots^{N-2}) \otimes 1 + \dots \\
& +1 \otimes 1 \otimes \dots^{N-1}) \otimes 1 \otimes X.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

This means that the N -particle generators (2.2) fulfil the commutation rules (1.2) with respect to the canonical Poisson bracket

$$\{f, g\} = \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_i} - \frac{\partial g}{\partial q_i} \frac{\partial f}{\partial p_i} \right).$$

Moreover, such coalgebra symmetry expressed through the symplectic realization implies that each of the N -particle generators (2.2) Poisson-commute with the $(N-1)$ functions $C^{(m)}$ given by the m -th coproducts of the Casimir (1.4) with $m = 2, 3, \dots, N$ (see [23, 25] for details). However, in the case of h_6 the $C^{(2)}$ function vanishes (the two-body coproduct of the Casimir is zero [25]), and we are left with the set of $(N-2)$ integrals (2.3) that, also by construction, are functionally independent and Poisson-commuting. Therefore, any function $\mathcal{H}$ (2.1) of the N -particle symplectic realization of the h_6 generators will be in involution with the set of integrals $C^{(m)}$, which completes the proof.

This quite general result deserves the following remarks and comments:

- We can properly say that $\mathcal{H}$ is a *quasi-integrable* Hamiltonian, since for *any dimension* N and *any choice of* $\mathcal{H}$ there is only one integral left in order to get its complete integrability. Obviously, some specific choices for $\mathcal{H}$ will lead to *completely integrable* Hamiltonians for which an additional integral does exist for any dimension N . The aim of this paper is just to find solutions to this problem.
- Within the coalgebra approach it is well-known that, in general, two different sets of integrals of the motion coming from ‘left’ and ‘right’ m -th coproducts of the Casimir can be obtained (see [24] for details). Indeed, this is also the case for the (h_6, Δ) coalgebra, where by making use of the ‘right’ m -th coproducts, the following alternative set of $(N-2)$ integrals in involution $C_{(m)}$ is obtained:

$$C_{(m)} = \sum_{N-m+1 \leq i < j < k}^N \left(\lambda_i(p_j q_k - p_k q_j) + \lambda_j(p_k q_i - p_i q_k) + \lambda_k(p_i q_j - p_j q_i) \right)^2. \tag{2.5}$$

This means that if we label the N sites on $h_6 \otimes h_6 \otimes \dots^{N-1} \otimes h_6$ by $1 \otimes 2 \otimes \dots \otimes N$, the ‘left’ Casimir $C^{(m)}$ is defined on the sites $1 \otimes 2 \otimes \dots \otimes m$, while the ‘right’ one $C_{(m)}$ is defined on $(N-m+1) \otimes \dots \otimes N-1 \otimes N$. Moreover, it is straightforward to prove that the $(2N-4)$ functions $\{C^{(3)}, C^{(4)}, \dots, C^{(N)} \equiv C_{(N)}, C_{(N-1)}, \dots, C_{(3)}, \mathcal{H}\}$ are functionally independent (assuming that $\mathcal{H}$ is not a function of $\mathcal{C}$ only) and the coalgebra symmetry ensures that each of the subsets $\{C^{(3)}, \dots, C^{(N)}, \mathcal{H}\}$ or $\{C_{(3)}, \dots, C_{(N)}, \mathcal{H}\}$ is formed by $(N-1)$ functions in involution [23, 24].

- As a consequence, in case that an additional integral $\mathcal{I}$ is found for a given $\mathcal{H}$, this Hamiltonian will be not only integrable, but also *superintegrable* provided that the $(N-3)$ ‘right’ constants $C_{(m)}$ (with $m = 3, \dots, N-1$) commute with $\mathcal{I}$ (this fact will be certainly ensured if $\mathcal{I}$ is a function of the N -particle symplectic realization of the h_6 coalgebra).
- The role of the λ_i parameters is essential in this approach, since they provide an N -parameter freedom for the Hamiltonian. From a co-algebraic viewpoint these λ_i parameters can be neatly interpreted: each of them fixes the one-particle symplectic realization that we are using on the i -th site of the underlying h_6 symmetry lattice $h_6 \otimes h_6 \otimes \dots^N \otimes h_6$.
- We stress that the integrals (2.3) can be interpreted as sums of the squares of a linear combination (through the λ_i parameters) of ‘Euclidean angular momentum’ components J_{ij} . In particular the $N(N-1)/2$ functions $J_{ij} = q_i p_j - q_j p_i$ with $i < j$ and $i, j = 1, \dots, N$ span an $so(N)$ Lie–Poisson algebra so that $C^{(m)}$ can be read as

$$C^{(m)} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j < k}^m (\lambda_i J_{jk} + \lambda_j J_{ki} + \lambda_k J_{ij})^2$$

where $J_{ki} = -J_{ik}$. Hence each term in $C^{(m)}$ is the square of an element of the Lie–Poisson algebra $so(3) = \{J_{ij}, J_{ik}, J_{jk}\}$ (and the same happens in $C_{(m)}$). From this perspective, the h_6 -coalgebra symmetry can be interpreted as a ‘generalization’ of the spherical symmetry, which will be fully recovered when the Hamiltonian is defined on the $gl(2)$ Poisson algebra.

- Although the central generator M is also a Casimir for the two-photon coalgebra, its N -th coproduct gives rise to N trivial integrals of the motion

$$M^{(m)} = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^2 \quad m = 1, \dots, N, \quad (2.6)$$

that do not provide any dynamical information. In this sense, M can be considered either as a generator on its own right or as a constant that depends on both the dimension N and the chosen symplectic realizations through the λ_i parameters.

- Finally, is worth mentioning that the role of the h_6 algebra in the integrability properties of certain 3D Hamiltonian systems was already pointed out from a different viewpoint in [31]. Nevertheless, the introduction of a coalgebra structure in h_6 turns out to be essential in order to fully exploit its integrability information and to generalize them to arbitrary dimensions.

2.1 Some relevant quasi-integrable Hamiltonians

Among the bunch of ND quasi-integrable systems that are provided by theorem 1, the following particular classes are physically outstanding.

2.1.1 Natural systems

The Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2}B_+ + \mathcal{F}(A_-, B_-) \quad (2.7)$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is a function playing the role of a potential, gives rise to the following quasi-integrable system on the ND Euclidean space $\mathbb{E}^N$:

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{p_i^2}{2} + \mathcal{F}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i q_i, \sum_{i=1}^N q_i^2\right). \quad (2.8)$$

Notice that central potentials, with spherical symmetry, directly arise whenever $\mathcal{F}$ does not depend on A_- (the Hamiltonian is then defined on the $gl(2)$ subalgebra). Thus in the case with generic $\mathcal{F}(A_-, B_-)$, the spherical symmetry is broken and its associated (super)integrability is, in principle, reduced to quasi-integrability.

2.1.2 Electromagnetic Hamiltonians

The most general ND quasi-integrable Hamiltonian including linear terms in the momenta is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = \frac{1}{2}B_+ + K \mathcal{F}(A_-, B_-) + A_+ \mathcal{G}(A_-, B_-) + \mathcal{R}(A_-, B_-) \quad (2.9)$$

where $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ are arbitrary smooth functions. In terms of canonical variables, it reads

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} = & \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{p_i^2}{2} + \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \left(q_i p_i - \frac{\lambda_i^2}{2} \right) \right) \mathcal{F}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i q_i, \sum_{i=1}^N q_i^2\right) \\ & + \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i p_i \right) \mathcal{G}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i q_i, \sum_{i=1}^N q_i^2\right) + \mathcal{R}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i q_i, \sum_{i=1}^N q_i^2\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

In 3D, this Hamiltonian describes the motion of a particle on $\mathbb{E}^3$ under the action of a static electromagnetic field which is determined by the functions $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{R}$. Namely, if we compare (2.10) with the 3D electromagnetic Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}_{em} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\vec{p} - e \vec{A} \right)^2 + e \psi \quad (2.11)$$

where e is the electric charge, $\vec{A}$ is the vector potential and ψ is the scalar one, we get

$$A_i = -\frac{q_i}{e}\mathcal{F}(A_-, B_-) - \frac{\lambda_i}{e}\mathcal{G}(A_-, B_-) \quad i = 1, 2, 3 \quad (2.12)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \psi = & \frac{1}{e}\mathcal{R}(A_-, B_-) - \frac{1}{2e}M\mathcal{F}(A_-, B_-) \\ & - \frac{1}{2e} [B_-\mathcal{F}(A_-, B_-)^2 + 2A_-\mathcal{F}(A_-, B_-)\mathcal{G}(A_-, B_-) + M\mathcal{G}(A_-, B_-)^2] . \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Note the relevant role that the λ_i parameters play in the definition of the electromagnetic field. Recall also that ND superintegrable electromagnetic systems have been recently obtained in [32] by making use of an $sl(2, \mathbb{R})$ -coalgebra symmetry. In fact, as we shall show in section 4, the latter systems are a particular subfamily of (2.10) since $sl(2, \mathbb{R})$ is a sub-coalgebra of h_6 . We also recall that 2D integrable electromagnetic Hamiltonians had been previously studied in [33, 34, 35].

2.1.3 Geodesic flow Hamiltonians

A third family of relevant systems is the one given by ND quasi-integrable Hamiltonians of the type

$$\mathcal{H} = \sum_{i,j=1}^N g^{ij}(q_1, \dots, q_N) p_i p_j \quad (2.14)$$

that are obtained by considering

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} = & B_+\mathcal{F}(A_-, B_-) + A_+^2\mathcal{G}(A_-, B_-) \\ & + \left(K + \frac{M}{2}\right)^2 \mathcal{R}(A_-, B_-) + \left(K + \frac{M}{2}\right) A_+\mathcal{S}(A_-, B_-) \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

since for any choice of the functions $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{G}$, $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ we obtain a Hamiltonian which is a quadratic homogeneous function in the momenta. Explicitly,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} = & \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \frac{p_i^2}{2}\right) \mathcal{F}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i q_i, \sum_{i=1}^N q_i^2\right) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i p_i\right)^2 \mathcal{G}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i q_i, \sum_{i=1}^N q_i^2\right) \\ & + \left(\sum_{i=1}^N q_i p_i\right)^2 \mathcal{R}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i q_i, \sum_{i=1}^N q_i^2\right) \\ & + \left(\sum_{i=1}^N q_i p_i\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i p_i\right) \mathcal{S}\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i q_i, \sum_{i=1}^N q_i^2\right) . \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

We stress that the specific form of the metric g^{ij} is defined by the $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{G}$, $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ functions which, in general, give rise to an ND space of *nonconstant* curvature. In any case, the set of constants of motion (2.3) is universal and does not depend on the specific choice of the arbitrary functions in the Hamiltonian.

On the other hand, we recall that the complete integrability of a free Hamiltonian on a curved space is a rather nontrivial property which is connected with geometric and topological features of the underlying manifold (see [36, 37, 38, 39]). Moreover, additional potentials on these h_6 -coalgebra spaces can be naturally considered by adding functions such as, e.g., $\mathcal{U}(A_-, B_-)$ to the free Hamiltonian (2.15). In this way the Euclidean natural systems (2.7) can be generalized to the curved spaces defined through (2.15) without breaking the quasi-integrability of the geodesic flow Hamiltonian.

3 Complete integrability

At this point the main problem to be faced is the characterization of those Hamiltonians $\mathcal{H}$ for which an additional integral $\mathcal{I}$ does exist *for any dimension* N , thus providing their complete ND integrability.

In order to ensure the existence of $\mathcal{I}$ for any dimension N , we shall assume that this additional integral is also h_6 -coalgebra invariant, which means that it can be written as a function

$$\mathcal{I} = \mathcal{I}(K, B_+, B_-, A_+, A_-, M) \quad (3.1)$$

where the h_6 generators are written in their N -particle symplectic realization (2.2). In this way, if $\mathcal{I}$ is functionally independent with respect to both the h_6 Casimir (1.4) and the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$, the coalgebra symmetry ensures –by construction– the involutivity of $\mathcal{I}$ with respect to the $(N-2)$ ‘left’ integrals $C^{(m)}$ ($m = 3, \dots, N$) and its functional independence with respect to them. And the very same result holds for the $(N-3)$ ‘right’ integrals $C_{(m)}$ where $m = 3, \dots, N-1$ (we recall that $C_{(N)} = C^{(N)}$).

This means that if $\mathcal{I}$ does exist in the form (3.1), then $\mathcal{H}$ will be not only a completely integrable system but also a *superintegrable* one, since a total number of $(N-2) + (N-3) + 1 = (2N-4)$ functionally independent constants of motion for $\mathcal{H}$ has been explicitly found. Nevertheless, even in this superintegrable case, $\mathcal{H}$ is not a *maximally superintegrable* Hamiltonian, since two more independent constants of the motion would be needed to get the maximum possible total number of $(2N-2)$ independent integrals. Again, these two remaining integrals could exist for some very particular choices for $\mathcal{H}$, but in any case neither their existence nor their explicit form can be derived from the h_6 coalgebra symmetry.

The rest of the paper is devoted to show how the search for the additional $\mathcal{I}$ (3.1) can be guided by taking into account the subalgebra structure of h_6 . In particular, we shall consider two different situations in which the existence of $\mathcal{I}$ is guaranteed by construction:

A) If the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ is defined within a subalgebra of h_6 that has a non-trivial Casimir invariant, the N -particle realization of the Casimir of the subalgebra provides the integral $\mathcal{I}$. This *subalgebra integrability* approach will be analysed in the next section, in which the subalgebra structure for h_6 will be fully described.

B) Let X be a fixed generator of h_6 . The N -particle symplectic realization of X will Poisson commute with any N -particle Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_X$ defined as a function of all the remaining h_6 generators commuting with X and of the Casimirs of all the subalgebras containing the given generator X . Under such hypotheses, $\mathcal{H}_X$ is completely integrable since the generator X is just the additional constant of motion $\mathcal{I}$. We have five relevant generators $\{K, B_+, B_-, A_+, A_-\}$ (the central generator M would give no dynamical information), so this *generator integrability* procedure will give rise to five families of completely integrable systems that will be studied in detail in section 5.

Finally, we stress that if a given Hamiltonian does not fit within the two previous approaches, the search for the remaining integral $\mathcal{I}$ –in case it does exist– have to be performed by using direct methods. Indeed, some particular solutions can be found, and a particular example will be given in the final section.

4 Subalgebra integrability

The subalgebras of h_6 with a non-trivial (*i.e.* linear) Casimir function are summarized in table 1 together with their 1D symplectic realization. They are:

- Two ‘book’ algebras $\mathcal{D}_+$ and $\mathcal{D}_-$ generated by a dilation plus two translations.
- The harmonic oscillator algebra h_4 .
- Two centrally extended $(1+1)$ D Galilean algebras $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_+$ and $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_-$.
- A centrally extended 2D Euclidean algebra $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ (where μ and ν are non-zero real parameters).
- The $gl(2)$ algebra.

More details on these subalgebras and on their associated Lie–Poisson structures can be found in [28]. Clearly the Heisenberg–Weyl algebra $h_3 = \{A_+, A_-, M\}$ is a subalgebra of h_4 , and $gl(2)$ contains an $sl(2, \mathbb{R})$ subalgebra (by mapping $K \rightarrow K + M/2$), so we have the following subalgebra embeddings:

$$h_3 \subset h_4 \subset h_6 \quad \overline{\mathcal{G}}_{\pm} \subset h_6 \quad sl(2, \mathbb{R}) \subset gl(2) \subset h_6. \quad (4.1)$$

Notice also that $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ is a proper Euclidean subalgebra whenever μ and ν have the same sign; on the contrary, $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ is in fact a centrally extended $(1+1)$ D Poincaré subalgebra. In the sequel we do not distinguish the two real forms as the resulting expressions for $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$ will be globally parametrized through μ and ν .

As we have pointed out in the previous section, any Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_g$ defined on one of the abovementioned subalgebras g is completely integrable by construction, since the N -th coproduct of the Casimir $\mathcal{C}_g$ provides the extra integral $\mathcal{I}$, which completes the set of $(N-2)$ left integrals $C^{(m)}$ coming from the h_6 coalgebra. Note that $\mathcal{I}$ is a function of the h_6 generators and, as a consequence, is in involution with each of the $C^{(m)}$ integrals. Therefore, we can state that the following ND Hamiltonians define

Table 1: Relevant subalgebras of h_6 .

Subalgebra	Generators	Symplectic realization	Casimir function
$\mathcal{D}_+$	K, A_+, B_+	$qp - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}, \lambda p, p^2$	A_+^2/B_+
$\mathcal{D}_-$	K, A_-, B_-	$qp - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}, \lambda q, q^2$	A_-^2/B_-
h_4	K, A_-, A_+, M	$qp - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}, \lambda q, \lambda p, \lambda^2$	$M(K + \frac{1}{2}M) - A_-A_+$
$\overline{\mathcal{G}}_+$	B_+, A_-, A_+, M	$p^2, \lambda q, \lambda p, \lambda^2$	$MB_+ - A_+^2$
$\overline{\mathcal{G}}_-$	B_-, A_-, A_+, M	$q^2, \lambda q, \lambda p, \lambda^2$	$MB_- - A_-^2$
$\overline{\mathcal{E}}$	$\mu B_+ + \nu B_-$	$\mu p^2 + \nu q^2$	$M(\mu B_+ + \nu B_-)$
	A_-, A_+, M	$\lambda q, \lambda p, \lambda^2$	$-\mu A_+^2 - \nu A_-^2$
$gl(2)$	K, B_-, B_+, M	$qp - \frac{\lambda^2}{2}, q^2, p^2, \lambda^2$	$B_-B_+ - (K + \frac{1}{2}M)^2$

completely integrable systems:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}_+} &= \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}_+}(K, A_+, B_+) \\
 \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}_-} &= \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{D}_-}(K, A_-, B_-) \\
 \mathcal{H}_{h_4} &= \mathcal{H}_{h_4}(K, A_-, A_+, M) \\
 \mathcal{H}_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}_+} &= \mathcal{H}_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}_+}(B_+, A_-, A_+, M) \\
 \mathcal{H}_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}_-} &= \mathcal{H}_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}_-}(B_-, A_-, A_+, M) \\
 \mathcal{H}_{\overline{\mathcal{E}}} &= \mathcal{H}_{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}(\mu B_+ + \nu B_-, A_-, A_+, M) \\
 \mathcal{H}_{gl(2)} &= \mathcal{H}_{gl(2)}(K, B_-, B_+, M)
 \end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

where the h_6 generators are taken through their ND symplectic realization (2.2) and the Hamiltonian functions are arbitrary functions of the corresponding arguments. Moreover, all these systems are superintegrable, since the h_6 coalgebra provides $(N-3)$ additional and functionally independent integrals of the motion given by the ‘right’ integrals $C_{(m)}$.

The explicit form of the Casimir invariant $\mathcal{C}_g \equiv \mathcal{I}$ of each subalgebra g is given in table 2 in terms of the N -particle symplectic realization (2.2). It is interesting to remark that the two-photon Casimir (1.4) can be expressed in terms of the Casimirs of the four subalgebras $h_3, h_4, \overline{\mathcal{G}}_+, \overline{\mathcal{G}}_-$ as

$$\mathcal{C}_{h_6} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{C}_{h_3}} \left(\mathcal{C}_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}_+} \mathcal{C}_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}_-} - \mathcal{C}_{h_4}^2 \right). \tag{4.3}$$

Let us finally comment that all of these subalgebras are also sub-coalgebras since the same primitive coproduct (1.5) holds for all of them as Lie–Poisson algebras, that

Table 2: ND symplectic realization of the Casimir of each of the sub-coalgebras of (h_6, Δ) given in table 1.

Sub-coalgebra	Integrals of motion
$(\mathcal{D}_+, \Delta)$	$C_{\mathcal{D}_+}^{(N)} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i p_i \right)^2 / \left(\sum_{j=1}^N p_j^2 \right)$
$(\mathcal{D}_-, \Delta)$	$C_{\mathcal{D}_-}^{(N)} = \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i q_i \right)^2 / \left(\sum_{j=1}^N q_j^2 \right)$
(h_4, Δ)	$C_{h_4}^{(N)} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (\lambda_j p_i - \lambda_i p_j)(\lambda_j q_i - \lambda_i q_j)$
$(\overline{\mathcal{G}}_+, \Delta)$	$C_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}_+}^{(N)} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (\lambda_j p_i - \lambda_i p_j)^2$
$(\overline{\mathcal{G}}_-, \Delta)$	$C_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}_-}^{(N)} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (\lambda_j q_i - \lambda_i q_j)^2$
$(\overline{\mathcal{E}}, \Delta)$	$C_{\overline{\mathcal{E}}}^{(N)} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \left\{ \mu(\lambda_j p_i - \lambda_i p_j)^2 + \nu(\lambda_j q_i - \lambda_i q_j)^2 \right\}$
$(gl(2), \Delta)$	$C_{gl(2)}^{(N)} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} (q_j p_i - q_i p_j)^2$

is, $(g, \Delta) \subset (h_6, \Delta)$. In fact, an alternative approach to the integrability of the systems (4.2) would be to consider directly the coalgebra construction for the subalgebra in which $\mathcal{H}_g$ is defined, thus forgetting about the whole h_6 scheme. In that case, the integrals of motion would be given by the ‘left’ and ‘right’ m -th coproducts of the Casimir of the subalgebra $\mathcal{C}_g$, say $C_g^{(m)}$ and $C_{g,(m)}$ ($m = 2, \dots, N$), respectively.

In this way, by taking into account that $C_g^{(2)}$ and $C_{g,(2)}$ do not vanish in the subalgebra, we would obtain (in principle) a maximum number of $(2N - 3)$ independent constants of motion for $\mathcal{H}_g$, and each set of N functions $\{C_g^{(m)}, \mathcal{H}_g\}$ or $\{C_{g,(m)}, \mathcal{H}_g\}$ would be in involution. However, in the case of $\mathcal{D}_+$, $\mathcal{D}_-$ and the two centrally extended $(1 + 1)$ D Galilean algebras $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_+$ and $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_-$, the right integrals $C_{g,(m)}$ turn out to be functionally dependent with respect to the left ones, and in these cases the superintegrability of the associated systems can be only derived by making use of the h_6 coalgebra construction.

Some of these subalgebras have been considered previously from the coalgebra method, so that we refer to the various papers on the subject [32, 40, 41]. Nevertheless it is worthy to point out that in the present h_6 framework the integrals of

motion coming from $gl(2)$ just provide the ones coming from the spherical symmetry (see table 2). In terms of the $so(N)$ generators J_{ij} , (see section 2) these read

$$C_{gl(2)}^{(m)} = \sum_{1 \leq i < j}^m J_{ij}^2 \quad C_{(m),gl(2)} = \sum_{N-m+1 \leq i < j}^m J_{ij}^2.$$

Therefore when a Hamiltonian of the type $\mathcal{H}_{gl(2)}$ is considered the spherical symmetry and its associated superintegrability is recovered as a particular case of the more general h_6 -coalgebra setting.

5 Generator integrability

Now, let us choose a given generator X of h_6 . If we look for all the generators X_j ($j = 1, \dots, l$) commuting with X and we look for all the subalgebras g_k ($k = 1, \dots, t$) containing X as generator, it becomes obvious that the Hamiltonian constructed through any function of the type

$$\mathcal{H}_X = \mathcal{H}_X(\mathcal{C}_{g_1}, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{g_t}, X, X_1, \dots, X_l), \quad (5.1)$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{g_k}$ is the Casimir function of the subalgebra g_k , verifies that

$$\{\mathcal{H}_X, X\} = 0. \quad (5.2)$$

Moreover, the N -th particle symplectic realization of both X and $\mathcal{H}_X$ will Poisson-commute with the two sets of integrals $C^{(m)}$ (2.3) and $C_{(m)}$ (2.5). Therefore, the N -th symplectic realization of $\mathcal{H}_X$ is a completely integrable ND Hamiltonian system (in fact, superintegrable with a total number of $(2N - 4)$ integrals of the motion).

As we shall see in the sequel, by taking into account the information concerning the h_6 subalgebras that is contained in tables 1 and 2, this result provides in a straightforward way a bunch of new ND integrable systems. We stress that particular integrable systems belonging to the three classes of the generically quasi-integrable Hamiltonians (2.8), (2.10) and (2.16), presented in section 2.1, can be straightforwardly identified.

5.1 Hamiltonians in involution with K

Let us start by considering $X \equiv K$. It is immediate to check that the only generator that Poisson-commutes with K is M , the central one. On the other hand, K is contained in the subalgebras $\mathcal{D}_+, \mathcal{D}_-, h_4$ and $gl(2)$. Therefore, the most general Hamiltonian with h_6 -coalgebra symmetry and in involution with K is

$$\mathcal{H}_K = \mathcal{H}_K(\mathcal{C}_{D_+}, \mathcal{C}_{D_-}, \mathcal{C}_{h_4}, \mathcal{C}_{gl(2)}, K, M) \quad (5.3)$$

where $\mathcal{H}_K$ is an arbitrary smooth function $\mathcal{H}_K : \mathbb{R}^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Now, if we take the ND symplectic realization of $\mathcal{H}_K$, we obtain an ND integrable Hamiltonian with $(N - 1)$ integrals of motion in involution given by (2.3) together with

$$\mathcal{I} \equiv K = \sum_{i=1}^N q_i p_i. \quad (5.4)$$

Next, in order to classify the type of Hamiltonian systems that can be constructed from $\mathcal{H}_K$, we have to realize that the symplectic realization of K and $\mathcal{C}_{h_4}$ are linear in the momenta, while $\mathcal{C}_{gl(2)}$ is quadratic in p . On the other hand, $\mathcal{C}_{D_+}$ is rational in p (for arbitrary λ_i) and $\mathcal{C}_{D_-}$ is a rational function in the canonical coordinates q . With these ingredients in mind and by considering the three families of systems given in section 2.1, a family of *completely integrable geodesic flows* on ND curved spaces is obtained through a choice of the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_K$ leading to a quadratic homogeneous function in the momenta. Namely, the most general possibility of this type turns out to be

$$\mathcal{H}_K = \mathcal{C}_{gl(2)} \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{C}_{D_-}) + \left(K + \frac{M}{2}\right)^2 \mathcal{G}(\mathcal{C}_{D_-}) + \mathcal{C}_{h_4}^2 \mathcal{R}(\mathcal{C}_{D_-}) + \left(K + \frac{M}{2}\right) \mathcal{C}_{h_4} \mathcal{S}(\mathcal{C}_{D_-}) \quad (5.5)$$

where $\mathcal{F}$, $\mathcal{G}$, $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ are arbitrary functions. When this Hamiltonian is written in terms of canonical coordinates we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_K = & \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j}^N (q_j p_i - q_i p_j)^2 \right) \mathcal{F} \left(\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i q_i \right)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^N q_i^2} \right) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^N q_i p_i \right)^2 \mathcal{G} \left(\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i q_i \right)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^N q_i^2} \right) \\ & + \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j}^N (\lambda_j p_i - \lambda_i p_j) (\lambda_j q_i - \lambda_i q_j) \right)^2 \mathcal{R} \left(\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i q_i \right)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^N q_i^2} \right) \\ & + \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j}^N (\lambda_j p_i - \lambda_i p_j) (\lambda_j q_i - \lambda_i q_j) \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N q_i p_i \right) \mathcal{S} \left(\frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i q_i \right)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^N q_i^2} \right) \quad (5.6) \end{aligned}$$

which is an ND integrable geodesic flow that depends on four arbitrary functions and N free parameters λ_i .

5.2 Hamiltonians in involution with A_+

When the generator A_+ is considered, we find that both B_+ and M commute with it. On the other hand, A_+ belongs to the subalgebras $\mathcal{D}_+$, h_4 , $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_+$, $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_-$ and $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$. Both facts

lead to the completely integrable Hamiltonian.

$$\mathcal{H}_{A_+} = \mathcal{H}_{A_+} \left(\mathcal{C}_{D_+}, \mathcal{C}_{h_4}, \mathcal{C}_{\bar{\mathcal{G}}_+}, \mathcal{C}_{\bar{\mathcal{G}}_-}, \mathcal{C}_{\bar{\mathcal{E}}}, A_+, B_+, M \right) \quad (5.7)$$

whatever the function $\mathcal{H}_{A_+}$ is. In this case, the appearance of B_+ (the Euclidean kinetic energy term) allows for a wider set of possibilities. In particular, all the following types of integrable Hamiltonians can be considered as specific cases of $\mathcal{H}_{A_+}$ for which the remaining integral is $\mathcal{I} \equiv A_+ = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i p_i$. This integral can be interpreted as a λ_i -generalization of the translational symmetry.

- *Natural Hamiltonians.* The only possibility is

$$\mathcal{H}_{A_+} = \frac{1}{2} B_+ + \mathcal{F} \left(\mathcal{C}_{\bar{\mathcal{G}}_-} \right) = \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{p_i^2}{2} + \mathcal{F} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j}^N (\lambda_j q_i - \lambda_i q_j)^2 \right). \quad (5.8)$$

Note that this Hamiltonian is *not* defined within the $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_-$ subalgebra. In the $N = 2$ case, the Calogero–Moser systems [8, 9] arise as particular choices for $\mathcal{F}$.

- *Electromagnetic Hamiltonians.* We can add linear terms in the momenta to the previous Hamiltonian leading to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{A_+} &= \frac{1}{2} B_+ + \mathcal{C}_{h_4} \mathcal{G} \left(\mathcal{C}_{\bar{\mathcal{G}}_-} \right) + A_+ \mathcal{R} \left(\mathcal{C}_{\bar{\mathcal{G}}_-} \right) + \mathcal{F} \left(\mathcal{C}_{\bar{\mathcal{G}}_-} \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{p_i^2}{2} + \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j}^N (\lambda_j p_i - \lambda_i p_j) (\lambda_j q_i - \lambda_i q_j) \right) \mathcal{G} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j}^N (\lambda_j q_i - \lambda_i q_j)^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i p_i \right) \mathcal{R} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j}^N (\lambda_j q_i - \lambda_i q_j)^2 \right) + \mathcal{F} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j}^N (\lambda_j q_i - \lambda_i q_j)^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

- *Geodesic flows.* The most general expression coming from $\mathcal{H}_{A_+}$ and with homogeneous quadratic dependence in the momenta is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{A_+} &= \mathcal{C}_{h_4}^2 \mathcal{F} \left(\mathcal{C}_{\bar{\mathcal{G}}_-} \right) + \mathcal{C}_{\bar{\mathcal{G}}_+} \mathcal{G} \left(\mathcal{C}_{\bar{\mathcal{G}}_-} \right) + B_+ \mathcal{R} \left(\mathcal{C}_{\bar{\mathcal{G}}_-} \right) + A_+^2 \mathcal{S} \left(\mathcal{C}_{\bar{\mathcal{G}}_-} \right) + A_+ \mathcal{C}_{h_4} \mathcal{T} \left(\mathcal{C}_{\bar{\mathcal{G}}_-} \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j}^N (\lambda_j p_i - \lambda_i p_j) (\lambda_j q_i - \lambda_i q_j) \right)^2 \mathcal{F} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j}^N (\lambda_j q_i - \lambda_i q_j)^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j}^N (\lambda_j p_i - \lambda_i p_j)^2 \right) \mathcal{G} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j}^N (\lambda_j q_i - \lambda_i q_j)^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{i=1}^N p_i^2 \right) \mathcal{R} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j}^N (\lambda_j q_i - \lambda_i q_j)^2 \right) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i p_i \right)^2 \mathcal{S} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j}^N (\lambda_j q_i - \lambda_i q_j)^2 \right) \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i p_i \right) \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j}^N (\lambda_j p_i - \lambda_i p_j) (\lambda_j q_i - \lambda_i q_j) \right) \mathcal{T} \left(\sum_{1 \leq i < j}^N (\lambda_j q_i - \lambda_i q_j)^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

5.3 Hamiltonians in involution with either A_- , B_- or B_+

To end with we present jointly these tree types of Hamiltonians, since all of them provide new examples of ND geodesic flows.

- *A_- -Hamiltonians.* If we consider that $\mathcal{I} \equiv A_- = \sum_{i=1}^N \lambda_i q_i$, is straightforward to prove that the most general integrable A_- -Hamiltonian reads

$$\mathcal{H}_{A_-} = \mathcal{H}_{A_-} \left(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}_-}, \mathcal{C}_{h_4}, \mathcal{C}_{\bar{\mathcal{G}}_+}, \mathcal{C}_{\bar{\mathcal{G}}_-}, \mathcal{C}_{\bar{\mathcal{E}}}, A_-, B_-, M \right). \quad (5.11)$$

In this case, geodesic flow Hamiltonians are available through the particular choice

$$\mathcal{H}_{A_-} = \mathcal{C}_{h_4}^2 \mathcal{F} \left(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}_-}, \mathcal{C}_{\bar{\mathcal{G}}_-}, A_-, B_- \right) + \mathcal{C}_{\bar{\mathcal{G}}_+} \mathcal{G} \left(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}_-}, \mathcal{C}_{\bar{\mathcal{G}}_-}, A_-, B_- \right) \quad (5.12)$$

and its N -particle symplectic realization can be immediately obtained.

- *B_- -Hamiltonians.* A similar situation is encountered when $\mathcal{I} \equiv B_- = \sum_{i=1}^N q_i^2$ is considered. In this case we have that

$$\mathcal{H}_{B_-} = \mathcal{H}_{B_-} \left(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}_-}, \mathcal{C}_{\bar{\mathcal{G}}_-}, \mathcal{C}_{gl(2)}, B_-, A_-, M \right) \quad (5.13)$$

and since $\mathcal{C}_{gl(2)}$ is the only term quadratic in the momenta, we are led to the integrable geodesic flow given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{B_-} = \mathcal{C}_{gl(2)} \mathcal{F} \left(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}_-}, \mathcal{C}_{\bar{\mathcal{G}}_-}, B_-, A_- \right). \quad (5.14)$$

- *B_+ -Hamiltonians.* Finally, the last possibility is given by $\mathcal{I} \equiv B_+ = \sum_{i=1}^N p_i^2$. Now, the most general integrable Hamiltonian is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{B_+} = \mathcal{H}_{B_+} \left(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{D}_+}, \mathcal{C}_{\bar{\mathcal{G}}_+}, \mathcal{C}_{gl(2)}, B_+, A_+, M \right). \quad (5.15)$$

All the variables for $\mathcal{H}_{B_+}$ (except M) depend on the momenta. Therefore, in this case the only integrable geodesic flow can be obtained through

$$\mathcal{H}_{B_+} = \alpha B_+ + \beta A_+^2 + \gamma \mathcal{C}_{gl(2)} + \delta \mathcal{C}_{\bar{\mathcal{G}}_+} \quad (5.16)$$

where α , β , γ and δ are constants.

6 Concluding remarks

As we mentioned in section 3, a third possibility to show the complete integrability for a given Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ (2.1) with h_6 -coalgebra symmetry is the direct search for

an additional integral $\mathcal{I}$, that can be assumed to be an unknown function of the h_6 generators. An example for this type of construction is given by the following geodesic flow system:

$$\mathcal{H} = B_+ (\alpha_1 A_- + \alpha_2 B_- + \alpha_3) \quad (6.1)$$

where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ are non-vanishing constants. Despite its simplicity, this quadratic h_6 Hamiltonian neither lives in any h_6 subalgebra nor can be included within the cases studied in the previous section. However, the following additional (and functionally independent) constant of motion can be found by direct computation:

$$\mathcal{I} = 4\alpha_1\alpha_2 A_+ \left(K + \frac{M}{2} \right) + 4\alpha_2\alpha_3 B_+ + 4\alpha_2^2 K(K + M) - \alpha_1^2 \mathcal{C}_{\overline{\mathcal{G}}_+}. \quad (6.2)$$

This integral provides the complete integrability of the system (6.1) for any dimension. Note that in the limit $\alpha_2 \rightarrow 0$ the Hamiltonian (6.1) belongs to the subalgebra $\overline{\mathcal{G}}_+$, and in that case $\mathcal{I}$ is just the Casimir function for such subalgebra, as it should be. We stress that this direct search for the remaining integral can be indeed very useful, since it can be quite easily computerized. In fact, the integral $\mathcal{I}$ can be searched among functions with cubic or higher dependence on the momenta (note that all the integrals that we have presented throughout the paper are, at most, quadratic in the momenta).

Moreover, nothing prevents that, although for a certain $\mathcal{H}$ defined on h_6 the additional integral does exist, such $\mathcal{I}$ cannot be written as a function (3.1) of the h_6 generators (*i.e.*, $\mathcal{I}$ it would not be coalgebra-invariant). This implies that in this case all the previous methods are not applicable and the explicit form for $\mathcal{I}$ has to be found for each dimension N , which constitutes a much more cumbersome task. Nevertheless, we would like to emphasize that any h_6 -coalgebra invariant Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ of the form (2.1) is only one integral away from being completely integrable, and the search of the complete list of integrable choices for $\mathcal{H}$ certainly deserves further work.

On the other hand, as it was pointed out from the very beginning of the coalgebra approach to integrability, the existence of the coalgebra symmetry of $\mathcal{H}$ allows for the direct construction of integrable deformations of $\mathcal{H}$ through the use of q -deformations of the underlying Poisson coalgebra [23]. In the case of h_6 , quantum two-photon/Schrödinger algebras have been constructed [29, 42] and its Poisson versions could be used to provide integrable deformations [43] of some of the systems here presented. But here it is important to recall that quantum deformations do not preserve -in general- all the sub-coalgebra structures that exist in the undeformed coalgebra (see [44]). So once a given q -deformation of h_6 had been constructed, the full analysis given in this paper has to be repeated step by step in order to elucidate which integrability properties have survived under q -deformation.

Finally, we mention that some of the new families of integrable ND Hamiltonians that we have presented in this paper deserve an individual analysis of its dynamical, geometric and physical features, that we also plan to develop in the near future.

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by the Spanish MICINN under grant MTM2007-67389 (with EU-FEDER support), by Junta de Castilla y León (Project GR224) and by INFN-CICyT. A. Blasco acknowledges INFN support during his stay in Roma Tre University, where part of this work was completed.

References

- [1] Perelomov A M 1990 *Integrable Systems of Classical Mechanics and Lie algebras* (Berlin: Birkhäuser)
- [2] Audin M 1999 *Spinning Tops: A Course on Integrable Systems* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [3] Bolsinov A V and Fomenko A T 2004 *Integrable Hamiltonian Systems: Geometry, Topology, Classification* (CRC Press)
- [4] Babelon O, Bernard D and Talon M 2007 *Introduction to Classical Integrable Systems* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [5] Arnold V I, Kozlov V V and Neishtadt A I 1997 *Mathematical Aspects of Classical and Celestial Mechanics* (Berlin: Springer-Verlag)
- [6] Garnier R 1919 *Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo* **43** 155
- [7] Toda M 1967 *J. Phys. Soc. Japan* **22** 431
- [8] Calogero F 1971 *J. Math. Phys.* **12** 419
- [9] Moser J 1975 *Adv. Math.* **16** 197
- [10] Sutherland B 1971 *Phys. Rev. A* **4** 2019
- [11] Wojciechowski S 1985 *Physica Scripta* **31** 433
- [12] Ruijsenaars S N M and Schneider H 1986 *Ann. Phys.* **170** 370
- [13] Evans N W 1990 *Phys. Lett. A* **147** 483
- [14] Lakshmanan M and Sahadevan R 1993 *Phys. Rep.* **224** Nrs. 1 & 2
- [15] Calogero F 1995 *Phys. Lett. A* **201** 306
- [16] Nersessian A and Pogosyan G 2001 *Phys. Rev. A* **63** 020103
- [17] Rodríguez M A and Winternitz P 2002 *J. Math. Phys.* **43** 1309

- [18] Kalnins E G, Williams G C, Miller W and Pogosyan G S 2002 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **35** 4755
- [19] Blaszak M and Sergyeyev A 2005 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **38** L1
- [20] Ballesteros A, Enciso A, Herranz F J and Ragnisco O 2008 *Physica D* **237** 505
- [21] Herranz F J and Ballesteros A 2008 *Phys. At. Nuclei* **71** 905
- [22] Verrier P A and Evans N W 2008 *J. Math. Phys.* **49** 022902
- [23] Ballesteros A and Ragnisco O 1998 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **31** 3791
- [24] Ballesteros A, Herranz F J, Musso F and Ragnisco O 2004 in *Superintegrability in Classical and Quantum Systems (CRM Proc. and Lecture Notes vol. 37)* ed P Tempesta *et al* (Providence, RI: AMS) p 1 *Preprint* math-ph/0412067
- [25] Ballesteros A and Herranz F J 2001 *J. Nonlin. Math. Phys.* **8** Suppl. 18
- [26] Zhang W M, Feng D H and Gilmore R 1990 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **62** 867
- [27] Brif C 1996 *Ann. Phys.* **251** 180
- [28] Ballesteros A, Herranz F J and Parashar P 2000 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **33** 3445
- [29] Ballesteros A, Herranz F J and Parashar P 1997 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **30** 8587
- [30] Burdet G, Patera J, Perrin M and Winternitz P 1978 *J. Math. Phys.* **19** 1758
- [31] Wojciechowski S 1983 *Phys. Lett. A* **96** 389
- [32] Ballesteros A and Herranz F J 2007 *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **40** F51
- [33] Hietarinta J 1985 *J. Math. Phys.* **26** 1970
- [34] Dorizzi B, Grammaticos B, Ramani A and Winternitz P 1985 *J. Math. Phys.* **26** 3070
- [35] McSween E and Winternitz P 2000 *J. Math. Phys.* **41** 2957
- [36] Koenigs G 1972 *Leçons sur la théorie généralé des surfaces* vol 4 ed G Darboux (New York: Chelsea) p 368
- [37] Katok A and Hasselblatt B 1995 *Introduction to the modern theory of dynamical systems* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)
- [38] Paternain G P 1999 *Geodesic flows* (Boston: Birkhäuser)
- [39] Ballesteros A, Enciso A, Herranz F J and Ragnisco O 2007 *Phys. Lett. B* **652** 376
- [40] Ballesteros A, Celeghini E and Herranz F J 2000 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **33** 3431
- [41] Ballesteros A and Blasco A 2008 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **41** 304028

- [42] Ballesteros A, Herranz F J and Parashar P 1998 *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **13** 1241
- [43] Ballesteros A and Herranz F J 2000 *Czech. J. Phys.* **50** 1239
- [44] Chari V and Pressley A 1995 *A Guide to Quantum Groups* (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press)